



Global Solar Trends and Implications for ASEAN and Vietnam

Sarah Fairhurst

9 November 2018

Or

What to do when
technology changes
faster than the world
can keep up?

Solar PV has been around for a long time

- As a girl, I had a solar powered calculator. It was state of the art. And very expensive. But that was 40 years ago!
- Since then, solar has changed beyond recognition and the industry with it
- For many years, solar was “interesting but much too expensive”
- Later – expensive but close enough to be driven by policy support
- Now – economic in its own right
- However, uptake is not even around the world – why is that?


Early adoption was driven by Government incentives

- In-front-of-the-meter, including
 - Feed-in-tariffs
 - tax incentives
 - renewable energy credits
 - among other things
- Behind-the-meter, by Net Energy Metering Schemes, whereby
 - customers would *net* their exports to the grid off of their imports from the grid; where
 - in the case of wholly volumetric rates, this effectively compensates solar at the retail rate, which provided a strong price signal that does not reflect (typically over-estimates) the value of these distributed solar resources


However, in-front-of-the-meter, solar is increasingly being evaluated and adopted on its own economic merits

- In-front-of-the-meter, solar is increasingly procured
 - By utilities, often on economic grounds through tenders/auctions; as well as
 - By end-users, often on economic and CSR grounds through corporate PPAs

Solar Capacity Awarded in Tenders / Auctions (Global)





Corporate PPAs Signed (USA)*



4 Sources: GTM; news reports; TLG analysis
 * Corporate PPAs in this instance solely reflect "large, off-site renewable energy projects"


The increasingly competitive economic position of utility-scale solar can be observed in the outcomes of recent auctions

Winning Tariffs in Solar PV Auctions (2016 - H1 2018)



Remuneration of behind-the-meter solar is also increasingly based on its economic merits

- Net Energy Metering schemes are generally becoming less generous
 - Moving away from monthly ‘netting’ schemes whereby solar is effectively compensated at the full retail rate for often wholly volumetric Residential customer tariffs
 - Moving towards remuneration on an *avoided cost* basis
- The trend is towards *Avoided Cost* and *Value of Solar Tariffs* in many jurisdictions, which are based on the costs that the utility avoids due to exports from these resources
 - Dynamic wholesale rates
 - System Losses
 - Market fees
 - Degree to which solar *reliably* offsets/defers generation and network capacity requirements (and related costs)
- Tariff structures are also coming under increasing pressure to better-reflect system cost structures, which has become particularly manifest in the push towards higher fixed charges for Residential customers in many jurisdictions



Typically considered as wholly ‘avoidable’


Degree of ‘avoidability’ very context-specific

Decision by customers to adopt solar ultimately determined by a basket of economic drivers

- The value for rooftop solar PV depends on four fundamental drivers


Value Drivers

1 Competing retail tariffs




- Higher retail tariffs
- Volumetric (per kWh)
- High and volatile fuel price

2 Customer demand profile




- Strong alignment of load profile with solar generation profile
- Savings from peak shaving if midday demand load

3 Policy & Regulation



- Tax credit/rebate/waive
- FIT and net metering policy


4 Cost of rooftop solar installations



- Lower overnight capital cost
- Lower financing cost

Factors that increase solar value


The solar business is evolving to enable solar to overcome hurdles, optimise value, and ultimately expand opportunities across heterogenous markets



Different permutations in the solution set allow for different allocations of risk and reward while fitting into the constraints of different local contexts

These trends have culminated in the rapid acceleration of solar adoption

Global Annual Commissioned Solar Capacity



New solar power capacity (2017)

		World	93.8 GW (+21.2)
1		China	53.1 GW (+18.5)
2 (▲)		India	9.6 GW (+5.4)
3 (▼)		USA	8.2 GW (-3.1)
4 (▲)		Japan	7.0 GW (-1.3)
5 (▲)		Turkey	2.6 GW (+2.0)
6 (▼)		Germany	1.7 GW (+0.8)
7 (▲)		Australia	1.2 GW (+0.4)
8 (▲)		Korea	1.1 GW (+0.2)
9 (▲)		Brazil	1.0 GW (+0.9)
10 (▼)		UK	0.9 GW (-1.5)

However, this is not without some issues


- Increasing intermittent generation in Europe and Australia has caused system security issues
- Dealing with intermittency is the new challenge
- But where there is a challenge, people rise to the challenge
- Opportunities exist for flexible generation – gas-fired engines, turbines, batteries, pumped storage
- Some new paradigms – including an increasing view that 100% secure supply of electricity is “the old way of thinking”

But most of this is outside the scope of this talk ☹... so back to Asia


ASEAN has lagged behind the world and the rest of Asia despite good solar resources (not even considering China and India)



2017 Solar and Wind Additions (MW)



Total Installed Solar and Wind Capacity (2017) (MW)



Note: * ASEAN Countries indicated in the charts include Indonesia, Lao PDR, Myanmar, Cambodia, Malaysia, Viet Nam, Philippines, Thailand
Source: IRENA

In Southeast Asia, generally lagged in regulatory frameworks in support of solar

Malaysia – Regulatory leader

- FiT program phased out.
- Replaced by bidding and net metering
 - Auction 450MW (2016) / 460MW (2017)
 - Net metering 500 MW (2016-2020)
- But Limited transparency for long term and next step

Minor Mekong – No specific solar / wind regulations at all

- No FiT or developed RE schemes
- Negotiated ad hoc PPAs

Thailand – “If it isn’t broken – break it”

- Solar leader - But progress has stalled
- 2015-16 round of solar awards for <5MW projects using lucky draw
- 2017 tender focused on hybrids centered around biomass

Vietnam – Regulations slow in coming and problematic

- No solar FiT / PPA before 2017
- RE PPAs not internationally financeable

Philippines – Slowed but not stopped


- FiT “one off” – No follow up quotas
- FiT quotas announced in 2014, filled up in 2015
- Developers without quotas sitting on ‘stranded’ development projects
- Bilateral PPAs signed, but ERC approvals are delayed

Indonesia – Constant regulation changes


- No solar or wind projects in operation
- General PPA standards were revised 3-4 times in 2017 (and no official template PPA for solar and wind)
- First solar tender announced is still pending (after one year)
 - 2017 May: First tender announced – but still pending
 - H2 2018 first solar PPA signed
 - Negotiated wind PPAs used as template for solar

While low and subsidized retail tariffs further reduce the desirability of solar

Commercial Tariff Comparison (Sep 2017)



Industrial Tariff Comparison (Sep 2017)





In many cases, Residential tariffs in the region are even lower due to cross-subsidies from C&I customers

Note: Commercial Tariff consumption based on demand of 1,061 kW and 596 GWh pm, Industrial Tariff based on demand of 4,816 kW and 2,992 GWh pm.

^ Calculations take into account electricity charges, adjustment of imbalance costs or changes in fuel prices (such as ICPT in TNB tariff), renewable energy surcharges, and sales tax, energy tax and any other exercise duties or levies applicable to electricity consumption. Forex adjustments reflect exchange rates in Sep 2017 calculation based on a typical large industrial customer with annual consumption of 26,254 GWh and demand of 3,000 kW and a typical small commercial customer with annual consumption of 277,360 kWh and demand of 42 kW


Vietnam has even lagged ASEAN to date...although recent developments mean it could catch up fast




China and India had record years in 2017 – SE Asia region declined from 2016

So how do we assess the likely trends from here? Start with the fundamentals


Assessing / Projecting Future Solar and Wind Potential in a Market



And then work through the implications




We also have a model which allows us to see which new plant is economic




Using our model, we see coal generation still expected to dominate the foreseeable future in Vietnam, bucking regional and global trends

Vietnam Total Installed Capacity (MW)





Vietnam Total Generation (TWh)



- Coal is expected to continue playing a key role in meeting rapid demand growth in Vietnam
- However, under our base assumptions we see large amounts of solar capacity enter the system

In this example, we plug in the PDP 7 solar capacity entry to 2030, but large amounts of economic entry are expected as costs fall in the future



- Solar capacity additions included in the PDP7 (2016) only assume 12,000MW by 2030, though actual development may end up significantly higher thanks to support schemes
- In the longer term, and as capital costs decrease further, Vietnam could see significantly high amounts of economic solar enter the system particularly in the South and Central regions which have favourable irradiance

In summary

- Solar is here to stay
- But the technology has moved faster than the ability to keep up with it
- In places with high uptake – such as Europe and Australia – the cutting edge innovations are in system security and managing intermittence
- In ASEAN, the cutting edge is on improving regulatory frameworks and ensuring that the economics of solar are not lost in the subsidy-laded power systems

- This time last year in Vietnam, solar seemed doomed. Today, we see the sun again. Next year, who knows?